INTRODUCTION

The functional composition of phytoplankton communities represents a central link between species-level physiological adaptations and ecosystem-level properties such as export production, nutrient cycling, and food-web structure. For example, in freshwater and marine systems, the abundance of planktonic nitrogen (N)-fixing cyanobacteria is highly variable and can directly mediate and reinforce the transition between N and phosphorus limitation of primary production (Howarth et al. 1988a). For N-limited estuaries in particular, the near absence of planktonic biological fixation contrasts to the situation in oceans and productive lakes, where colonial N-fixing cyanobacterial taxa are biogeo-
reached densities in excess of 24 000 cells ml⁻¹ in estuarine mesocosms, but only when herbivores were excluded. In the presence of mesozooplankton grazers, heterocystous cyanobacteria either failed to appear entirely or were restricted to biogeochemically insignificant densities. In this and a companion paper (Marino et al. 2006), we present detailed results from seawater mesocosm experiments designed to elucidate the biogeochemical and ecological mechanisms that underlie this finding. Here, we explicitly consider the mechanisms by which consumers inhibit cyanobacterial blooms and the extent that grazer control of N-ﬁxer blooms may be decoupled from top-down control of estuarine production in general.

Although top-down control of N-ﬁxer blooms has been evident from freshwater systems (Elser et al. 2000), its effectiveness appears to be highly variable, and intensive zooplankton grazing is also known to promote cyanobacterial dominance (Hrbacek 1964, Sarnelle 1993). How estuarine consumers act to control N-ﬁxer blooms, and the extent to which consumer controls on N-ﬁxers may differ between freshwater and estuarine systems remain unclear. Recent models and experiments indicate that the structural and biochemical constraints of N ﬁxation can accentuate the sensitivity of estuarine heterocystous cyanobacteria to consumer control relative to their counterparts in freshwater (Howarth et al. 1999, Marino 2001, Chan et al. 2004). Heterocystous cyanobacteria dominate in freshwater and in the limited number of estuaries where blooms of planktonic N-ﬁxers occur (Schindler 1977, Lindahl & Wallstrom 1985, Huber 1986). Heterocystous cyanobacteria grow as colonial ﬁlaments, comprised of fully photosynthetic cells and heterocysts. The latter are specialized diatom-like cells that lack Photosystem II (Wolk et al. 1994, Adams & Duggan 1999). The ability of heterocystous cyanobacteria to ﬁx N and bloom is dependent on the production and maintenance of heterocyst activity by a sufﬁcient number of adjacent photosynthetic cells (Howarth et al. 1999, Chan 2001). Conversely, reductions in the number of photosynthetic cells in a colony by grazers may lead to the suppression of heterocyst production and N-ﬁxation activities.

Across pelagic systems, herbivorous consumers represent an important, though variable, fate of autotrophic production (Cyr & Pace 1993, Duarte & Cebrian 1996). These losses of phytoplankton can be central in structuring the composition, biomass, and productivity of planktonic communities (Cloern 1982, Carpenter & Kitchell 1988, Roman & Gauzens 1997). Consumer-driven losses may mediate heterocystous cyanobacterial blooms, but this problem has not been considered especially with regard to how consumption may interact with bottom-up/population-size-structure constraints to N-ﬁxer growth. In this paper, we used longer term mesocosm experiments and short-term zooplankton:cyanobacteria grazing experiments to test the potential for grazers to suppress bloom formation of estuarine heterocystous cyanobacteria. Speciﬁcally, we tested: (1) the general ability of estuarine consumers to graze on heterocystous cyanobacteria, (2) the effects of grazing on population size structure in estuarine heterocystous cyanobacteria, and (3) the interactions between grazing and cyanobacterial life-history traits in constraining planktonic biological N ﬁxation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mesocosm experiments. We manipulated the abundance of herbivore consumers in estuarine mesocosms to test the sensitivity of planktonic heterocystous cyanobacteria to grazing control. Three separate experiments were carried out in the summers of 1994, 1996, and 1998, in a series of 3000 l estuarine mesocosms located on the shore of Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island (details of the general experimental design can be found in Marino et al. 2006). Briefly, in 1994 (Expt 1), 10 mesocosms were used to test the effects of strongly N-limited conditions in stimulating heterocystous cyanobacterial blooms in the absence of mesozooplankton grazers. Five mesocosms received phosphorus (+P), while 5 mesocosms received no experimental nutrient additions (Control). Mesozooplankton grazing pressure in all experiments was suppressed by addition of the zooplanktivorous fish Menidia berylina (15 individuals) to all tanks. In 1996 (Expt 2), 12 mesocosms were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 treatments: zooplankton grazing (Gr), no zooplankton grazing (NGr), or benthic grazing (+Mussels). The +Mussels treatment received 7 Mytilus edulis individuals (35 to 45 mm in length). In 1998 (Expt 3), 16 mesocosms were randomly assigned as Gr or NGr treatments. Reductions in zooplankton grazing were again manipulated by the addition of 15 M. berylina to the NGr tanks. To ensure establishment of zooplankton populations, animals (>100 µm) were collected from Narragansett Bay and held in 2 tanks of 3000 l for 4 to 5 wk prior to the establishment of the experimental mesocosms. The zooplankton culture/holding tanks received inorganic N, P, and Si nutrients in an atomic ratio of 20:1:20, at a rate of 3.2 mmol N m⁻³ d⁻¹. In 1996 and 1998, zooplankton from these 2 culture tanks were seeded to all experimental mesocosms at densities of 5000 and 7000 ind. m⁻³, respectively, within the first 2 wk of the experiment to establish grazer populations.

Phytoplankton sampling. For both years, phytoplankton and chlorophyll samples were taken by inserting, capping, and withdrawing a 1 m × 3.8 cm
diameter PVC tube through the water column, while avoiding the mesocosm bottom, where aggregated algal matter had accumulated. Phytoplankton samples were preserved in 1% acid Lugol’s solution (Wetzel & Likens 1991). In 1996, phytoplankton samples were collected from each mesocosm tank twice weekly for the 10.5 wk duration of the experiment. Additional samples were collected daily from 28 August to the end of the experiment, coinciding with the first detection of heterocystous cyanobacterial cells in mesocosm tanks. Past experiments in these estuarine mesocosms indicated high temporal variation in heterocystous cyanobacteria (Marino 2001), and daily sampling allows more accurate depiction of population growth dynamics. Phytoplankton samples were collected from each mesocosm tank twice weekly for the 9 wk duration of the 1998 experiment. In order to ascertain the short-term growth dynamics of N-fixers, additional samples were collected daily from 28 July (upon the first appearance of N-fixing cyanobacterial cells) to 7 August from each mesocosm in 1998. The effects of consumers on N-fixing cyanobacterial abundance over the entire course of the experiments are presented in the companion paper (Marino et al. 2006). In order to elucidate the mechanisms of consumer suppression of cyanobacterial blooms, we focus in the present analysis on daily records of heterocystous cyanobacterial population structure, density, and growth. The abundances of heterocystous cyanobacterial (Anabaena sp.) vegetative cells, heterocysts, and filaments were enumerated after concentration by gravitational sedimentation or dilution with cell-free water in a 5 ml counting cell at 100× and 400× on a Wild M-40 inverted microscope. Mean filament size, defined as mean number of cells within each colonial filament, and the heterocyst frequency, defined as the number of heterocysts per individual filament, were also determined. For each sample, 10 transects (representing 30.9% of the area of the counting cell) across the diameter of the counting chamber were counted. If <50 filaments were found in 10 transects, then all filaments in the counting chamber were enumerated. The growth rates \( r \) of Anabaena sp. populations were calculated and normalized to 20°C using an assumed \( Q_{10} \) value of 2 (Staal et al. 2003) as:

\[
 r = \ln \left( \frac{N_t}{N_0} \right) / T_{1/2} \times e^{0.0693 (T - 20)} \tag{1}
\]

where \( N_t \) is the initial cell density at time 1, \( N_2 \) is the cell density at Time \( t \), \( t \) is time in days where \( 1/2 \) of maximum cell density was observed (Pielou 1969), and \( T \) is the time-averaged temperature recorded in mesocosms. Chlorophyll samples were collected once per week in 1996 and 1998 on Whatman GF/F filters. The samples were kept frozen until analysis. Chlorophyll \( a \) and phaeopigments were extracted in methanol (Holm-Hansen & Riemann 1978) and measured with a Turner Designs Model 10 fluorometer as in Marino (2001).

**Mesozooplankton sampling.** For Expt 1 (1994), mesozooplankton were sampled only at the start and end and only in duplicate tanks filled at the same time as the experimental tanks. A total of 125 to 200 l of water was sampled vertically over depth, using a low-speed, gasoline-driven pump, and then poured through a 63 µm mesh plankton net. The concentrated samples were washed into a storage bottle with 95% ethanol. In Expts 2 & 3, mesozooplankton populations were sampled twice weekly to monitor the integrity of the zooplankton grazing treatments. In 1996, mesozooplankton samples were collected by pumping 65 l through a 100 µm mesh net. In 1998, mesozooplankton samples were collected by lowering and capping a 1 m PVC pipe through the water column. In this fashion 13 l of water was collected and filtered through a 73 µm mesh sieve. Comparison of the 2 methods found no differences in sampling efficiencies for calanoid adults, copepodes, or nauplii. Zooplankton samples were preserved in 75% ethanol, and were enumerated with stereomicroscopes for copepod adults, copepodes, and nauplii, as well as for cladocerans, polychaete larvae, and other metazoan larvae. Copepod adults and copepodes were further enumerated to the class level (i.e. calanoid, cyclopoid, and harpacticoid).

**Mesozooplankton grazing experiments.** Short-term grazing experiments were conducted in 1998 to test for direct consumption of heterocystous cyanobacteria by estuarine mesozooplankton. Four species of heterocystous cyanobacteria were employed: those present in the experimental mesocosms and the 3 cultured strains (University of Texas culture collection) Anabaena cylindrica (UTEX B269), Nostoc muscorum (UTEX LB1933), and Anabaena sp. (UTEX LB2497). No cyanobacteria of estuarine origin were available from culture collections, reflecting the paucity of planktonic heterocystous cyanobacterial blooms in saline estuaries. The UTEX cultures were used to test the generality of estuarine zooplankton consumption of heterocystous cyanobacteria. Mesozooplankton, consisting primarily (>95% by density) of Acartia tonsa, were collected from Narragansett Bay and held in 2 outdoor zooplankton culture tanks of 3000 l until used.

For each experiment, small samples of cyanobacteria were mixed with freshly collected 73 µm mesh filtered Narragansett Bay seawater to create a mixed assemblage of natural estuarine phytoplankton and of a dilute population of cultured heterocystous cyanobacteria. Experiments with the phytoplankton assemblage were conducted in 9 to 16 (depending on experiment) polycarbonate flasks of 500 ml, to which adult and late stage (CIV and CV) copepodes (Acartia tonsa) were added.
added at varying densities to create a gradient of grazing pressure. The densities ranged from 1 to 80 ind. l⁻¹, and were similar to the range of A. tonsa densities observed in Narragansett Bay during summer (Durbin & Durbin 1981). In 1 experiment with Anabaena cylindrica, Acartia was anesthetized with carbonated water, individually pipetted into 120 ml cups (containing seawater filtered through 73 µm mesh Nitex netting) of 1, 20, 40, or 80 ind. l⁻¹, and then added to incubation flasks. In subsequent experiments, individuals of A. tonsa were dispensed from an aerated 1000 ml seawater beaker using a wide-bore 10 ml repeating pipette. The volume of water containing A. tonsa dispensed to each incubation flask was varied to establish a gradient of grazing pressure. The total volumes of all flasks were equalized by adding 73 µm mesh filtered seawater. High survivorship (>95%) was observed in the results of grazing pressure. The total volumes of all flasks were equalized by adding 73 µm mesh filtered seawater. High survivorship (>95%) was observed in the incubations with both zooplankton handling methods. Once filled, the flasks were mounted on a rotating plankton wheel (at 2 rpm) and incubated in a 20°C water bath under fluorescent lighting (~200 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) and were similar to the range of A. tonsa densities observed in Narragansett Bay during summer (Durbin & Durbin 1981). In 1 experiment with Anabaena cylindrica, Acartia was anesthetized with carbonated water, individually pipetted into 120 ml cups (containing seawater filtered through 73 µm mesh Nitex netting) of 1, 20, 40, or 80 ind. l⁻¹, and then added to incubation flasks. In subsequent experiments, individuals of A. tonsa were dispensed from an aerated 1000 ml seawater beaker using a wide-bore 10 ml repeating pipette. The volume of water containing A. tonsa dispensed to each incubation flask was varied to establish a gradient of grazing pressure. The total volumes of all flasks were equalized by adding 73 µm mesh filtered seawater. High survivorship (>95%) was observed in the incubations with both zooplankton handling methods. Once filled, the flasks were mounted on a rotating plankton wheel (at 2 rpm) and incubated in a 20°C water bath under fluorescent lighting (~200 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) for 4 to 6 h. Initial and post-incubation phytoplankton samples were preserved in 1% acid Lugol’s solution. Zooplankton samples were collected at the end of incubations and preserved in 75% ethanol. The abundances of heterocystous cyanobacterial cells, heterocysts, and filaments were enumerated as described above.

To test for relative feeding selectivity, changes in a natural assemblage of >10 µm length cylindrical pennate diatoms (i.e. similar in size to Anabaena sp.) were also recorded for 1 set of experiments involving estuarine Anabaena sp. from the experimental mesocosms. Selectivity coefficients (Vanderploeg 1981, Knisely & Geller 1986) were calculated as the ratio of taxa-specific clearance rates (i.e. F of Anabaena / F of pennate diatoms) according to the equation for clearance rates (Frost 1972):

\[ F_{\text{taxa}} = [(\ln C_t - \ln C_i) - (\ln C_{tg} - \ln C_{ig})] / t \times V / N \]  

(2)

where, \( C_t \) is the initial algal concentration in all flasks, \( C_i \) is the final algal concentration in control flasks, \( C_{tg} \) is the final algal concentration in grazing flasks, \( t \) is the duration of the experiment, \( V \) is the volume of the flask, and \( N \) is the number of zooplankton per flask.

The taxa-specific ingestion rate per zooplankton, \( I_{\text{taxa}} \), is equal to the taxa-specific filtration rate, \( F_{\text{taxa}} \), times the mean concentration of algal cells, \( C_m \), and was calculated as:

\[ I_{\text{taxa}} = C_m \times F_{\text{taxa}} \]  

(3)

Since the concentration of cells declines in the incubation flask over time, the mean algal cell concentration was estimated according to McClatchie & Lewis (1986) such that:

\[ C_m = \left\{ C_i \times \{\exp [(k - g) \times t] - 1}\right\} / t \times (k - g) \]  

(4)

where the instantaneous rate of phytoplankton growth, \( k \), is equal to (ln \( C_t \) – ln \( C_i \))/\( t \), and the instantaneous rate of phytoplankton loss, \( g \), is equal to k – (ln \( C_t \) – ln \( C_{tg} \))/\( t \). Since the densities of consumers in these experiments were varied, we were able to calculate multiple estimates of \( F \) and \( I \) for each individual phytoplankton taxa. Estimates of \( F \) and \( I \) are dependent on the assumptions that \( g \), the instantaneous morality coefficient, is constant over the course of incubations or can be described as a time-averaged rate without bias (McClatchie & Lewis 1986). However, behavioral changes in zooplankton grazers (e.g. functional responses to changes in food concentration) can result in changes to actual \( g \) values during incubations (Rigler 1961, Chow-Fraser & Sprules 1992). Since estimates of \( g \) can vary depending on initial food concentrations and consumer densities (via potential for food depletion), estimates of \( F \) based on any 1 ratio of food:consumer densities must be interpreted with caution (McClatchie & Lewis 1986). To minimize possible biases associated with using a value of \( F \) that is based on 1 food:consumer ratio, we pooled values of \( F \) across consumer density treatments for our estimates of \( F_{\text{taxa}} \) and \( I_{\text{taxa}} \) for each specific phytoplankton taxa.

**Statistical methods.** For the mesocosm experiments, the responses of chlorophyll and heterocystous N-fixer population size and structure (e.g. number of heterocysts per filament) were described as time-weighted means. All phytoplankton variables were log-transformed to equalize variance among treatments and to control for correlations between means and variance in the raw data. ANOVA tests of time-weighted means of log-transformed data were analyzed via Statview 5.0.1. Model II regressions were used to analyze the relationships between cyanobacterial population structures, size, and growth rate. Model I regressions were used in the analyses of short-term grazing results, as zooplankton density (the independent variable) was derived from counts of all individuals.

**RESULTS**

**Mesocosm experiments**

Mesozooplankton populations were highly sensitive to the presence or absence of zooplanktivorous Menidia beryllina in all experiments. Mesozooplankton densities in the NGr and +Mussels treatments were largely held to <1 ind. l⁻¹ for the entire experiment in 1996 (Fig. 1a,b) and for the first 6 wk of the 1998 experiment (Fig. 2a). In the 1998 NGr treatment, however, mesozooplankton populations increased in the final 4 wk of
the experiment (Fig. 2a). In the Gr treatments, adult and copepodite copepods averaged ca. 45 ind. l⁻¹ in both years (Figs. 1c & 2b), similar to densities in Narragansett Bay during summer (Durbin & Durbin 1981). The mesozooplankton community consisted primarily of *Acartia tonsa* adults, copepodites, nauplii, as well as harpacticoid copepods with minor contributions from polychaete and veliger larvae and other cyclopoid and calanoid copepods (Figs. 1c & 2b). Mesozooplankton abundances in both years exhibited cycles of initial growth and decline, followed by a secondary period of increase. This resulted in marked reductions in grazing pressure for periods of up to 2 wk. The composition of the mesozooplankton community varied through time as well. In 1996, growth and decline periods in *A. tonsa* abundance were followed by a subsequent increase in a mixed assemblage of harpacticoid copepods and *A. tonsa* (Fig. 1c). In 1998, *A. tonsa* populations showed similar increase and decline periods, though with subsequent recovery (Fig. 2b). The recruitment and growth of individual *A. tonsa* cohorts (e.g. transition from N1 to N2 nauplii stages) were evident in the mesocosms. These cohort effects likely contributed to the observed population fluctuations.

In both years, the initial appearance and maximum peaks of heterocystous cyanobacterial blooms were captured by the daily samples. These blooms comprised pelagic populations of an *Anabaena* species, and benthic taxa such as *Calothrix* spp. were absent. The bloom abundances of heterocystous cyanobacteria were normalized to chlorophyll *a* concentrations, in order to test the relative sensitivity of cyanobacteria to suppression by consumer controls. In the 1996 experiment, the relative contribution of heterocystous cyanobacteria (*Anabaena* sp.) to the phytoplankton community was strongly suppressed by zooplankton grazers (Fig. 3a). *Anabaena* sp. densities in the Gr treatment were all below the limits of detection. The ratio of *Anabaena* cells to chlorophyll *a* was reduced 8-fold in the presence of *Mytilus edulis* relative to the NGr treatment. These differences were not significant and reflected, in part, high variances within the NGr treatment (i.e. reduction in grazing pressure alone did not consistently lead to blooms of heterocystous cyanobacteria). In 1998, mesozooplankton grazing again resulted in significant selective suppression of cyanobacterial abundance (Fig. 3a). *Anabaena*:chlorophyll *a* ratios were reduced 25-fold relative to the NGr treatment. The inhibition of *Anabaena* biomass was selective, as chlorophyll *a* concentrations were insensitive to or only moderately suppressed by the presence of consumers in the mesocosms (Fig. 3b).

Zooplankton grazing influenced the structure of *Anabaena* populations. Grazing restricted *Anabaena* to short filaments (mean colony size = 15.4 cells) with fewer heterocysts (mean heterocyst per filament = 1.4 cells) (Fig. 4a,b). In contrast, *Anabaena* were longer (mean colony size = 46.3 cells) and had more heterocyst-rich (mean heterocyst per filament = 3.3 cells) filaments in the NGr treatment. In addition to reducing
the mean number of heterocysts per filament, zooplankton grazing also increased the proportion of filaments that lacked heterocysts and thus could not fix N (Fig. 4c).

The production of heterocysts and the N-fixation capacity in *Anabaena* is related to filament elongation and growth of photosynthetic, vegetative cells. The number of heterocyst(s) occurring on each filament increased linearly with increases in mean filament length (Fig. 5a). From the slope of this relationship, we estimate 16 vegetative cells supported the production and activities of 1 heterocyst. At the population level, the production of heterocysts is similarly tied to the growth of vegetative cells (Fig. 5b). Zooplankton-mediated changes in population size structure also translate directly into proportional changes in net rates of population growth. In temperature-normalized net growth rates amongst mesocosm populations, 86% of the variance can be explained by variations in mean filament length (Fig. 5c). The consequences of filament-length reductions promoted the population-level reductions in cell density. Although the relationship between mean filament length and mean population size is variable ($R^2 = 0.52$), a doubling of filament length corresponds to an approximately 1 order of magnitude increase in *Anabaena* cell density (Fig. 5d).
Mesozooplankton grazing experiments

Estuarine heterocystous cyanobacteria were readily consumed by mesozooplankton. *Anabaena* sp. cells incubated with *Acartia tonsa* declined by up to 4-fold in density in 4 h relative to no-grazer controls (Fig. 6a). Relative to similarly sized diatoms, estuarine mesozooplankton showed no selectivity against *Anabaena* sp. from the experimental mesocosms (Fig. 6a, Table 1). Across the gradient of zooplankton densities, selectivity coefficient did not differ from 1, as clearance rates (ml ind.–1 h–1) for *Anabaena* sp. (mean = 0.82, SE = 0.71) did not differ significantly from those for diatoms (mean = 1.14, SE = 0.38) (paired t-test, p > 0.05). These clearance rates are similar to those reported for *A. tonsa* adults (~0.5 to 4 ml ind.–1 h–1) fed highly edible taxa such as *Rhodomonas baltica* or *Thalassiosira fluviatilis* (Berggreen et al. 1988, Stottrup & Jensen 1990).

At the concentration of cells offered, per capita ingestion rates averaged 114 cells h–1 for *Anabaena* sp. and 610 cells h–1 for diatoms (Table 1). *Acartia tonsa* also readily grazed other species of heterocystous cyanobacteria. The densities of *Anabaena cylindrica*, *Anabaena* sp. UTEX 2497, and *Nostoc muscorum* all declined by up to 4-fold in the presence of consumers relative to no-grazer controls (Fig. 6b to d). Per capita ingestion rates ranged from 500 to 1000 cells h–1 for these cyanobacterial taxa (Table 1). These rates are similar to those observed for *A. tonsa* feeding on N-enriched diatoms, *Thalassiosira weissflogii* (800 to 2000 cells animal–1 h–1 at initial algal density of 500 to 2500 cells ml–1) (Cowles et al. 1988). Mesozooplankton grazing also had important impacts on the population structure of heterocystous cyanobacteria. Significant declines in mean filament lengths were observed for all 4 cyanobacterial taxa (Table 1). For example, estuarine *Anabaena* filaments averaged 17 cells in length in the no-grazer controls, but declined to 12 cells in length at high densities of *Acartia* (Fig. 6a).

**DISCUSSION**

Nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria can reach biogeochemically important densities in saline (ca. 30 ppt) experimental systems (Marino et al. 2002, 2006). However, the potential for high densities of N-fixing cyanobacteria is strongly dependent on suppression of grazing pressure (Marino et al. 2002). Analyses of daily changes in population structure presented here indicate that grazer suppression of the N-fixation potential and bloom formation reflect the sensitivity of heterocystous cyanobacterial growth to reductions in colonial filament size and heterocyst production. The strength of grazer control on primary production in coastal systems is variable, and thought to be generally weak relative to freshwater systems (Micheli 1999, Shurin et al. 2002). Nevertheless, in comparisons to overall phyto-
plankton biomass, heterocystous cyanobacterial abundance was highly vulnerable to grazer control. This difference highlights the potential de-coupling of grazer control of planktonic N fixer abundance from that of phytoplankton biomass. The distinction between trophic regulation of phytoplankton biomass and trophic regulation of phytoplankton community composition is important, because the presence of harmful or nuisance blooms depends on escaping various forms of control (including pre-bloom grazer control). In the experimental mesocosms, grazer control of phytoplankton biomass was weak, as evidenced by the lack of robust response of chlorophyll to grazing treatments. In contrast, the initiation of blooms in heterocystous N-fixers and their potential for N inputs to the experimental systems were strongly curtailed by grazing pressure.

Selective consumer exclusion of heterocystous cyanobacteria from estuarine phytoplankton communities appears to arise from basic physiological constraints of N fixation. Extreme oxygen sensitivity in the nitrogenase enzyme complex and the concurrent energetic need for oxygenic photosynthesis pose a physiological challenge for autotrophic N-fixing cyanobacteria (Adams & Duggan 1999). Cellular segregation of N fixation and photosynthesis allow heterocystous cyanobacteria to overcome these physiological constraints (Gallon 1992). However, our results suggest that cellular specialization of N fixation can also limit the ability of heterocystous cyanobacteria to fix nitrogen and bloom in the face of grazing mortality. In the experimental mesocosms, net growth rates of *Anabaena* populations were strongly tied to mean filament length and heterocyst frequency as mediated by zooplankton feeding (Fig. 5b,c). Short-term grazing studies reported here and elsewhere have demonstrated the sensitivity of colony size in filamentous cyanobacteria (Schaffner et al. 1994, Turner et al. 1998) and estuarine diatoms (Deason 1980) to zooplankton grazing.

Heterocyst production was closely dependent upon the abundance of photosynthetic cells at the scale of individual filaments and at the population level (Fig. 5a,b). We found no evidence for high rates of heterocyst production when filaments were short or when the densities of photosynthetic cells were low. The ratio of vegetative to heterocystous cells was highly conserved (Fig. 5a,b), and suggests that sufficient filament growth must take place before N fixation can proceed, as hypothesized by Howarth et al. (1999). As short colonies with relatively low numbers of heterocysts, freshwater *Anabaena* populations suffered reduced gross rates of growth (Chan et al.
Prior to the formation of nitrogenase-competent heterocysts, the growth rate of heterocystous cyanobacterial cells in a N-limited system would be dependent on the uptake kinetics of N from the environment. As chains of relatively large spherical cells with low surface area to volume ratios, pre-bloom N-fixers may not be effective competitors for N or achieve high rates of population growth (see Foy 1980, Smith & Kalff 1982). Moreover, heterocystous cyanobacteria are known to over-winter as akinetes (resting cells) in freshwater and estuarine sediments and to recruit to the water column as the akinetes germinate, increase in filament length, and produce heterocysts (Reynolds 1972, Huber 1984). Colony size dependent growth suggests that amongst estuarine phytoplankton, heterocystous cyanobacteria may be uniquely vulnerable to grazing. Unlike other phytoplankton with colony size independent growth, increases in grazing mortality lead to further reductions in the gross rate of growth for already slow-growing heterocystous cyanobacteria. By acting as a direct source of mortality and by suppressing the realized gross rate of growth, grazing can act to disproportionately exclude N-fixers from estuarine phytoplankton communities.

The strength of grazer control in the initiation of *Anabaena* blooms is somewhat surprising. A large body of research has clearly pointed to the potential for freshwater and estuarine calanoids to select among food particles based on size, shape, nutritional content, and chemical defenses (e.g. Cowles et al. 1988, DeMott & Moxter 1991). Research in freshwater systems has yielded variable results regarding the role of zooplankton consumption in the direct control of heterocystous cyanobacteria (Hrbacek 1964, Epp 1996). Zooplankton are known to reduce consumption rates on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Initial cell conc. (cells ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>F (SE)</th>
<th>I (SE)</th>
<th>Intercept (t-value, p-value)</th>
<th>Slope (t-value, p-value)</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Anabaena</em> sp. (estuarine mesocosm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell density</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>(0.71)</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>(158.14)</td>
<td>–3.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean filament length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.155</td>
<td>(31.283, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>–0.100</td>
<td>(17.152, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennate diatoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>609.8</td>
<td>(24.283, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>708.46</td>
<td>(167.14)</td>
<td>–6.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell density</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–6.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean filament length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.071</td>
<td>(20.808, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>–0.026</td>
<td>(9.148, &lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nostoc muscorum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1058.5</td>
<td>(232.83)</td>
<td>792.44</td>
<td>(9.148, &lt;0.001)</td>
<td>–8.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell density</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–4.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean filament length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.071</td>
<td>(20.808, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>–0.026</td>
<td>(9.148, &lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anabaena</em> sp. (UTEX 2497)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6308.7</td>
<td>(1411.51)</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>(19.088, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>–14.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell density</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–4.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean filament length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.181</td>
<td>(30.087, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>–0.017</td>
<td>(19.088, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anabaena cylindrica</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>493.7</td>
<td>(11.298, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>110.298</td>
<td>158.67</td>
<td>–0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell density</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–3.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean filament length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.939</td>
<td>(44.398, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>–0.0136</td>
<td>(44.398, &lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Regression (Model I) results for the effects of zooplankton consumption on population size and structure in heterocystic cyanobacteria; *Acartia tonsa* density (ind. l⁻¹) is the independent variable in all cases. Intercept denotes the cell density or filament length at zero density of *Acartia* individuals, and slope represents the decline in cell density or filament length due to increases in *Acartia* density. Mean estimates of clearance rate (F, ml animal⁻¹ h⁻¹) and ingestion rate (I, cells animal⁻¹ h⁻¹) (from individual +Zooplankton incubations) are also presented (n: the number of +Zooplankton incubation flasks). Grazing rates from *Anabaena* sp. (estuarine) and penante diatoms were derived from the same experiment.

(2004).
heterocystous cyanobacteria as a consequence of nutritional, chemical, and physical feeding deterrents (Webster & Peters 1978, Holm et al. 1983, DeMott & Moxter 1991). However, high rates of zooplankton consumption are also known for heterocystous cyanobacteria (Schaffner et al. 1994, Engstrom et al. 2000), even for taxa that are considered toxic (Koski et al. 2002, Kozlowsky-Suzuki et al. 2003). Results of the short-term grazing experiments indicate that estuarine zooplankton consume multiple species of heterocystous cyanobacteria while displaying no discrimination against Anabaena sp. collected from experimental mesocosms in mixed feeding trials with natural diatom assemblages from Narragansett Bay. Although extrapolation of short-term in vitro grazing rates to system-level rates must be done with caution, comparisons with grazing rates for non-cyanobacterial taxa reported elsewhere (Berggreen et al. 1988, Stottrup & Jensen 1990) suggest that Acartia tonsa readily consumed a broad suite of heterocystous cyanobacteria at comparably high rates. Estuarine zooplankton are also known to feed selectively on individual phytoplankton cells that are of higher N content (Cowles et al. 1988). Consumption of heterocystous cyanobacterial cells may thus reflect trade-offs between higher N content in those cells and potential physical and chemical deterrents.

Factors such as N availability (MacKay & Elser 1998) and turbulence (Moisander & Pael 2000, but see Howarth et al. 1993) can potentially influence colony length, heterocyst production, N fixation, and cyanobacterial success. Grazing by zooplankton consumers in particular can indirectly inhibit heterocystous cyanobacterial growth in systems in which stoichiometric differences in zooplankton and phytoplankton N:P ratios and transient storage of P in zooplankton biomass favor the increased availability of DIN (MacKay & Elser 1998, Elser et al. 2000). In the present studies, turbulence was held constant and DIN:DIP (dissolved inorganic nitrogen:dissolved inorganic phosphorous) ratios remained strongly below the Redfield ratio across all treatments in the mesocosm experiments (Marino et al. 2006). While turbulence, zooplankton-mediated increases in DIN:DIP, and direct zooplankton consumption and alteration of colony size structure are not mutually exclusive mechanisms, the lack of turbulence differences and the persistence of extremely low values of DIN:DIP across all treatments suggest that suppression of estuarine cyanobacterial blooms was a direct response to zooplankton consumption. The results of the short-term grazing studies further indicate that zooplankton directly grazed on heterocystous cyanobacteria, constrain filament elongation, and thus act to preempt the initiation of blooms (Howarth et al. 1999).

### Variability in grazer controls

Although heterocystous cyanobacterial populations were highly vulnerable to grazer controls, consumer pressures can, nevertheless, vary widely among and within estuaries, and physical processes and nutrient availability are often dominant controls on primary production (Howarth et al. 1999). To what extent can trophic interactions act as a general mechanism in excluding planktonic N-fixer blooms from most saline estuaries? Phytoplankton communities reflect both short-term (hours to days) and longer term (weeks to months) aspects of consumption, competition, recruitment, and abiotic variability (Sommer 1989). As a result, short-term fluctuations in grazing pressure may not translate into immediate responses in blooms. Considering that average rates of phytoplankton growth rate in situ are relatively constrained (i.e. on the order of 1 doubling d⁻¹) (Furnas 1990, Banse 1995), the response of rare, slow-growing taxa to temporary reductions in grazing pressure may take many weeks to realize. As an example, for a slow-growing phytoplankton (0.21 d⁻¹, 6 wk of mortality-free growth is needed to realize a 4-order-of-magnitude increase in population size (i.e. bloom). Downward fluctuations in grazing pressure alone on weekly time scales may not be sufficient for promotion of N-fixer blooms. Effective suppression of grazing pressure on seasonal time scales may be one requisite for the successful invasion of planktonic N-fixers into estuary blooms.

### What factors are necessary to promote estuarine N-fixer blooms?

Many factors regulate phytoplankton community structure in estuarine systems. The potential for any individual phytoplankton taxon to bloom will depend on the coincidence of high potential growth as determined by light availability, temperature, and nutrient supply, and marked reductions in rates of mortality (Kiorboe 1993). For estuarine N-fixers, the phenologic window for potential blooms is likely to be similarly constrained. Heterocystous cyanobacteria are known to bloom in a small number of estuaries, highlighting the consequences of relaxed constraints to N-fixer growth (Lukatelich & McComb 1986, Perez et al. 1999). Indeed, the repeated development of Anabaena blooms in our grazing-suppressed mesocosms across years contrasts with their absence from the plankton communities of Narragansett Bay. This contrast further highlights the potential importance of trophic barriers to the establishment of estuarine N-fixers. Moisander & Pael (2000) have also proposed that effective dispersal of heterocystous cyanobacteria from potential
source populations in systems such as the Baltic might currently limit their successful invasion into N-limited estuaries of North America. Dispersal limitation and local ecological controls are not mutually exclusive processes, and may serve as interactive barriers to N-fixer establishment.

**Contrasting controls on N fixation in freshwaters and estuaries**

Our results suggest that size structure dependent growth can subject heterocystous cyanobacteria to increased sensitivity to trophic controls relative to other estuarine phytoplankton taxa. Although size structure dependent cyanobacterial blooms (Chan et al. 2004) and grazing control of N-fixer success (Elser et al. 2000) are also evident in freshwater systems, the general efficiency of grazer controls on N-fixer growth is, nevertheless, variable across freshwater systems (Carpenter 1989, Sarnelle 1993). The disparate effectiveness of freshwater and estuarine grazing suggests that additional factor(s) are likely to mediate the sensitivity of N-fixer blooms to grazing mortality.

Autotrophic N-fixers have requirements for Fe and Mo that are up to 2 orders of magnitude greater than those of other phytoplankton taxa (Raven 1988). At the same time, the bioavailabilities of these elements can be quite low in oxic seawater (Howarth et al. 1988b, Martin et al. 1991). For estuarine N-fixers, reduced bioavailability of Mo, due to the inhibition of molybdate uptake by sulfate at seawater concentration, suppresses the growth rate of heterocystous cyanobacteria 2- to 3-fold relative to freshwater cyanobacteria (Marino et al. 2003). The consequence of this growth-rate suppression appears great. The model by Howarth et al. (1999) explored population size structure dependent growth, trace-element limitation, and zooplankton consumption for freshwater and estuarine planktonic N fixation. The model results indicated that 2- to 3-fold growth-rate reductions in seawater greatly increased the vulnerability of N-fixers to the direct effects of zooplankton consumption (Howarth et al. 1999). The results of the present study confirm the mechanisms by which zooplankton consumers can act to disproportionately inhibit heterocystous cyanobacterial blooms in estuaries.

The functional composition of planktonic communities reflects, to varying degrees, the influences of resource availability, benthic and pelagic consumption, and physical losses due to sedimentation and advection (Reynolds 1987, Kiørboe 1998). In productive estuarine environments, where phytoplankton biomass turns over at rapid rates, the life-history traits of bloom-forming taxa must reflect a successful trade-off between high growth rate and resistance to grazing. Conversely, bloom formation or persistence is unlikely for taxa that attain only low growth rates and exhibit low resistance to consumers. The results of this study indicate that the physiological organization (colonial morphology and conserved ratio of vegetative:heterocystous cells) required for N fixation also makes heterocystous cyanobacteria vulnerable to grazer control. For estuarine heterocystous cyanobacteria, low intrinsic growth rates (reflecting potential factors such as Fe or Mo limitation) and high sensitivity to the effects of grazing may explain, in large part, their absence from estuarine waters. The disparate response of estuarine and freshwater systems may reflect, not only fundamental geochemical constraints to N fixation, but also their interactions with trophic controls.
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